Extended Essay Student Guide from the IBO

**It is required that students:**

· choose a topic that fits into one of the subjects on the approved extended essay list (in the Vade Mecum)

· observe the regulations relating to the extended essay

· meet deadlines

· acknowledge all sources of information and ideas in an approved academic manner.

**It is strongly recommended that students:**

· start work early

· think very carefully about the research question for their essay

· plan how, when and where they will find material for their essay

· plan a schedule for both researching and writing the essay, including extra time for delays and unforeseen problems

· record sources as their research progresses (rather than trying to reconstruct a list at the end)

· have a clear structure for the essay itself before beginning to write

· check and proofread the final version carefully

· make sure that all basic requirements are met (for example, all students should get full marks for the abstract).

**Recommended: things to do**

Examiners’ reports frequently emphasize the following positive steps.

*Before starting* work on the extended essay, students should:

· read the assessment criteria

· read previous essays to identify strengths and possible pitfalls

· spend time working out the research question (imagine the finished essay)

· work out a structure for the essay.

*During* the research process, and while writing the essay, students should:

· start work early and stick to deadlines

· maintain a good working relationship with their supervisor

· construct an argument that relates to the research question

· use the library and consult librarians for advice

· record sources as they go along (rather than trying to reconstruct a list at the end)

· choose a new topic and a research question that can be answered if there is a problem with the original topic

· use the appropriate language for the subject

· let their interest and enthusiasm show.

*After* completing the essay, students should:

· write the abstract

· check and proofread the final version carefully.

**Recommended: things to avoid**

Examiners’ reports also mention these things to be avoided at all costs.

Students should not work with a research question that is too broad or too vague, too narrow, too difficult or inappropriate. A good research question is one that asks something worth asking and that is answerable within 40 hours/4,000 words. It should be clear what would count as evidence in relation to the question, and it must be possible to acquire such evidence in the course of the investigation. If a student does not know what evidence is needed, or cannot collect such evidence, it will not be possible to answer the research question.

In addition, students should not:

· forget to analyze the research question

· ignore the assessment criteria

· collect material that is irrelevant to the research question

· use the Internet uncritically

· plagiarize

· merely describe or report (evidence must be used to support the argument)

· repeat the introduction in the conclusion

· cite sources that are not used.

One further piece of advice is as follows: the more background a student has in the subject, the better the chance he or she has of writing a good extended essay. Choosing to write the extended essay in a subject that is not being studied as part of the Diploma Programme often leads to lower marks.

**The research process**

When researching the extended essay, students should do the following.

1. Choose the approved Diploma Programme subject for the extended essay.

 · Read the assessment criteria and the relevant subject guidance.

2. Choose a topic.

3. Formulate a well-focused research question.

4. Plan the investigation and writing process.

 · Identify how and where they will gather material.

 · Identify which system of academic referencing they will use, appropriate to the subject of the essay.

 · Set deadlines for themselves that will allow them to meet the school’s requirements.

5. Plan a structure (outline headings) for the essay. This may change as the investigation develops but it is useful to have a sense of direction.

6. Undertake some preparatory reading.

 · If students discover that it will not be possible to obtain the evidence needed in the time available, the research question should be changed. This should be done sooner rather than later: students should not lose time waiting and hoping that something will turn up. Students should go back to stage 3, 2 or 1, and choose a new research question that can be answered.

7. Carry out the investigation.

 · The material gathered should be assembled in a logical order, linked to the structure of the essay. Only then will students know whether they have enough evidence for each stage of the argument so that they can proceed to the next.

 · Students should be prepared for things to go wrong. Sometimes they may discover something later in the investigation that undermines what they thought had been established earlier on. If that happens, the investigation plan needs to be revised.

**Writing the extended essay**

The structure of the essay is very important. This is what helps students to organize the argument, making best use of the evidence gathered.

The required elements of the final work to be submitted are listed here. More details about each element are given in the “Formal presentation of the extended essay” section. Please note that the order in which they are presented here is not necessarily the order in which they should be written.

· Title page

· Abstract

· Contents page

· Introduction

· Body (development/methods/results)

· Conclusion

· References and bibliography

· Appendices

Students should use the chosen system of academic referencing as soon as they start writing. That way, they are less likely to forget to include a citation. It is also easier than trying to add references at a later stage. Most modern word processors are helpful with this.

Some students draft the introduction first. If students do that, they must be prepared to revise it once the essay is complete.

The main task is writing the body of the essay, which should be presented in the form of a reasoned argument. The form of this varies with the subject of the essay but, as the argument develops, it should be clear to the reader what relevant evidence has been discovered, where/how it has been discovered and how it supports the argument. In most subjects, sub-headings within the main body of the essay will help the reader to understand the argument (and will also help the student to keep on track).

Once the main body of the essay is complete, it is possible to finalize the introduction (which tells the reader what to expect) and the conclusion (which says what has been achieved, including notes of any limitations and any questions that have not been resolved).

Any information that is important to the argument should not be included in appendices or footnotes/endnotes. The examiner is not bound to read notes or appendices, so an essay that is not complete in itself will lose marks.

The remaining stages in writing the essay take time but are not difficult. Students need to check that they have cited sources for all material that is not their own, and that the citations are complete and consistent with the chosen referencing system. The bibliography should list only the sources used in the essay. The whole essay needs to be proofread carefully (computer spelling and grammar checkers are useful but will not do everything). Pages must be numbered and the contents page must be completed. The abstract is normally written last.

**The length of the extended essay**

The upper limit is 4,000 words for all extended essays. This upper limit includes the introduction, the body, the conclusion and any quotations, but does not include:

· the abstract

· acknowledgments

· the contents page

· maps, charts, diagrams, annotated illustrations and tables

· equations, formulas and calculations

· citations/references (whether parenthetical or numbered)

· footnotes or endnotes

· the bibliography

· appendices.

Essays containing more than 4,000 words are subject to penalties and examiners are not required to read material in excess of the word limit.

**Title**

The title should provide a clear indication of the focus of the essay. It should be precise and not necessarily phrased in the form of a question.

**Abstract**

An abstract not exceeding 300 words must be included with the essay submitted. It does not serve as an introduction, but presents an overview of the extended essay, and should, therefore, be written last.

The inclusion of an abstract is intended to encourage students to examine closely the development of an argument within the extended essay and the pertinence of any conclusions that are reached. It is also designed to allow readers to understand quickly the contents of the extended essay.

The minimum requirements for the abstract are for it to state clearly:

· the research question being investigated

· the scope of the investigation

· the conclusion(s) of the extended essay.

The abstract should be typed or word processed on one side of a sheet of paper, and placed immediately after the title page.

**Contents page**

A contents page must be provided at the beginning of the extended essay and all pages should be numbered. An index is not required.

**Illustrations**

Presentation and overall neatness are important, and it is essential that illustrative material, if included, is well set out and used effectively. Graphs, diagrams, tables and maps are effective only if they are clearly labelled and can be interpreted with ease. All such material that is incorporated into the extended essay must be directly related to the text and acknowledged where appropriate. The use of photographs and other images is acceptable only if they are captioned and/or annotated and are used to illustrate a specific point made in the extended essay.

**Bibliographies, references and citations**

An extended essay must reflect intellectual honesty in research practices and provide the reader with the exact sources of quotations, ideas and points of view through accurate bibliographies and referencing. Producing accurate citations, referencing and a bibliography is a skill that students should be seeking to perfect. Documenting the research in this way is vital: it allows readers to evaluate the evidence for themselves and it shows the student’s understanding of the importance of the sources used.

***Failure to comply with this requirement will be viewed as plagiarism and will, therefore, be treated as a case of malpractice.***

**What is a bibliography?**

A bibliography is an alphabetical list of every source used to research and write the essay. Sources that are not cited in the body of the essay, but were important in informing the approach taken, should be cited in the introduction or in an acknowledgment. The bibliography should list only those sources cited.

There are a number of different documentation styles available for use when writing research papers; most are appropriate in some academic disciplines but not others. The supervisor should help the student decide on a style for the particular subject of the essay. It is important to remember that, whatever style is chosen, it must be applied consistently. When choosing the documentation style, the student needs to have a clear understanding of how it is to be used before embarking on the research task. The documentation style should be applied in both the final draft of the essay and in the initial research stages of taking notes. This is good practice, not only for producing a high-quality final product, but also for reducing the opportunities and temptation to plagiarize.

**Major documentation styles**

The following are examples of acceptable documentation styles.

· American Political Science Association (APSA)

· American Psychological Association (APA)

· Chicago/Turabian

· Council of Biology Editors (CBE)

· Harvard citation and referencing guide

· Modern Language Association (MLA)

· Numbered references

Finding information about such systems is not difficult. Entering a string such as “academic referencing” into an Internet search engine will bring up lots of useful material. Reputable university sites often allow comparison of several different systems (and do not usually disappear overnight). One such example (accessed 13 March 2006) is http://www.wisc.edu/writing/Handbook/Documentation.html. There are numerous other online guides to creating bibliographies, as well as printed writers’ handbooks.

**What is a reference?**

A reference is a way of indicating to the reader, in an orderly form, where information has been obtained.

A reference provides all the information needed to find the source material. References must be cited because they acknowledge the sources used, and enable the reader to consult the work and verify the data that has been presented.

References must be given whenever someone else’s work is quoted or summarized. References can come from many different sources, including books, magazines, journals, newspapers, e-mails, Internet sites and interviews.

Internet references should include the title of the extract used as well as the web site address, the date it was accessed and, if possible, the author. Caution should be exercised with information on web sites that do not give references or that cannot be cross-checked against other sources. The more important a particular point is to the essay, the more the quality of its source needs to be evaluated.

Any references to interviews should state the name of the interviewer, the name of the interviewee, the date and the place of the interview.

**What is a citation?**

A citation is a shorthand method of making a reference in the body of an essay, which is then linked to the full reference at the end of the essay. A citation provides the reader with accurate references so that he or she can locate the source easily. How sources are cited varies with the particular documentation style that has been chosen. Page numbers should normally be given when referencing printed material: in some styles this will be in the citation, in others in the full reference. Once again, it is important to emphasize that there must be consistency of method when citing sources.

**Appendices, footnotes and endnotes**

Appendices, footnotes and endnotes are not an essential section of the extended essay and examiners are not required to read them, so care should be taken to include all information of direct relevance to the analysis and argument in the main body of the essay. An essay that attempts to evade the word limit by including important material in notes or appendices risks losing marks under several criteria. Unless considered essential, complete lists of raw data should not be included in the extended essay. Students should not constantly refer to material presented in an appendix as this may disrupt the continuity of the essay.

**Assessment (A-K)**

**A: research question**

This criterion assesses the extent to which the purpose of the essay is specified. In many subjects, the aim of the essay will normally be expressed as a question and, therefore, this criterion is called the “research question”. However, certain disciplines may permit or encourage different ways of formulating the research task.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Achievement level** | **Descriptor** |
| 2 | The research question is clearly stated in the introduction and sharply focused, making effective treatment possible within the word limit. |
| 1 | The research question is stated in the introduction but is not clearly expressed or is too broad in scope to be treated effectively within the word limit. |
| 0 | The research question is not stated in the introduction or does not lend itself to a systematic investigation in an extended essay in the subject in which it is registered. |

**B: introduction**

This criterion assesses the extent to which the introduction makes clear how the research question relates to existing knowledge on the topic and explains how the topic chosen is significant and worthy of investigation.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Achievement level** | **Descriptor** |
| 2 | The context of the research question is clearly demonstrated. The introduction clearly explains the significance of the topic and why it is worthy of investigation. |
| 1 | Some attempt is made to set the research question into context. There is some attempt to explain the significance of the topic and why it is worthy of investigation. |
| 0 | Little or no attempt is made to set the research question into context. There is little or no attempt to explain the significance of the topic. |

**C: investigation**

This criterion assesses the extent to which the investigation is planned and an appropriate range of sources has been consulted, or data has been gathered, that is relevant to the research question. Where the research question does not lend itself to a systematic investigation in the subject in which the essay is registered, the maximum level that can be awarded for this criterion is 2.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Achievement level** | **Descriptor** |
| 4 | An imaginative range of appropriate sources has been consulted, or data has been gathered, and relevant material has been carefully selected. The investigation has been well planned. |
| 3 | A sufficient range of appropriate sources has been consulted, or data has been gathered, and relevant material has been selected. The investigation has been satisfactorily planned. |
| 2 | A limited range of appropriate sources has been consulted, or data has been gathered, and some relevant material has been selected. There is evidence of some planning in the investigation. |
| 1 | A range of inappropriate sources has been consulted, or inappropriate data has been gathered, and there is little evidence that the investigation has been planned. |
| 0 | There is little or no evidence that sources have been consulted or data gathered, and little or no evidence of planning in the investigation. |

**D: knowledge and understanding of the topic studied**

Where the research question does not lend itself to a systematic investigation in the subject in which the essay is registered, the maximum level that can be awarded for this criterion is 2. “Academic context”, as used in this guide, can be defined as the current state of the field of study under investigation. However, this is to be understood in relation to what can reasonably be expected of a pre-university student. For example, to obtain a level 4, it would be sufficient to relate the investigation to the principal lines of inquiry in the relevant field; detailed, comprehensive knowledge is not required.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Achievement level** | **Descriptor** |
| 4 | The essay demonstrates a very good knowledge and understanding of the topic studied. Where appropriate, the essay clearly and precisely locates the investigation in an academic context. |
| 3 | The essay demonstrates a good knowledge and understanding of the topic studied. Where appropriate, the essay successfully outlines an academic context for the investigation. |
| 2 | The essay demonstrates an adequate knowledge and some understanding of the topic studied. The essay shows some awareness of an academic context for the investigation. |
| 1 | The essay demonstrates some knowledge but little understanding of the topic studied. The essay shows little awareness of an academic context for the investigation. |
| 0 | The essay demonstrates no real knowledge or understanding of the topic studied. |

**E: reasoned argument**

This criterion assesses the extent to which the essay uses the material collected to present ideas in a logical and coherent manner, and develops a reasoned argument in relation to the research question. Where the research question does not lend itself to a systematic investigation in the subject in which the essay is registered, the maximum level that can be awarded for this criterion is 2.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Achievement level** | **Descriptor** |
| 4 | Ideas are presented clearly and in a logical and coherent manner. The essay succeeds in developing a reasoned and convincing argument in relation to the research question. |
| 3 | Ideas are presented in a logical and coherent manner, and a reasoned argument is developed in relation to the research question, but with some weaknesses. |
| 2 | There is some attempt to present ideas in a logical and coherent manner, and to develop a reasoned argument in relation to the research question, but this is only partially successful. |
| 1 | There is a limited or superficial attempt to present ideas in a logical and coherent manner, and to develop a reasoned argument in relation to the research question. |
| 0 | There is no attempt to develop a reasoned argument in relation to the research question. |

**F: application of analytical and evaluative skills appropriate to the subject**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Achievement level** | **Descriptor** |
| 4 | The essay shows effective and sophisticated application of appropriate analytical and evaluative skills. |
| 3 | The essay shows sound application of appropriate analytical and evaluative skills. |
| 2 | The essay shows some application of appropriate analytical and evaluative skills, which may be only partially effective. |
| 1 | The essay shows little application of appropriate analytical and evaluative skills. |
| 0 | The essay shows no application of appropriate analytical and evaluative skills. |

**G: use of language appropriate to the subject**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Achievement level** | **Descriptor** |
| 4 | The language used communicates clearly and precisely. Terminology appropriate to the subject is used accurately, with skill and understanding. |
| 3 | The language used communicates clearly. The use of terminology appropriate to the subject is accurate, although there may be occasional lapses. |
| 2 | The language used for the most part communicates clearly. The use of terminology appropriate to the subject is usually accurate. |
| 1 | The language used sometimes communicates clearly but does not do so consistently. The use of terminology appropriate to the subject is only partly accurate. |
| 0 | The language used is inaccurate and unclear. There is no effective use of terminology appropriate to the subject. |

**H: conclusion**

This criterion assesses the extent to which the essay incorporates a conclusion that is relevant to the research question and is consistent with the evidence presented in the essay.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Achievement level** | **Descriptor** |
| 2 | An effective conclusion is clearly stated; it is relevant to the research question and consistent with the evidence presented in the essay. It should include unresolved questions where appropriate to the subject concerned. |
| 1 | A conclusion is attempted that is relevant to the research question but may not be entirely consistent with the evidence presented in the essay. |
| 0 | Little or no attempt is made to provide a conclusion that is relevant to the research question. |

**I: formal presentation**

This criterion assesses the extent to which the layout, organization, appearance and formal elements of the essay consistently follow a standard format. The formal elements are: title page, table of contents, page numbers, illustrative material, quotations, documentation (including references, citations and bibliography) and appendices (if used).

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Achievement level** | **Descriptor** |
| 4 | The formal presentation is excellent. |
| 3 | The formal presentation is good. |
| 2 | The formal presentation is satisfactory. |
| 1 | The formal presentation is poor. |
| 0 | The formal presentation is unacceptable, or the essay exceeds 4,000 words. |

**J: abstract**

The requirements for the abstract are for it to state clearly the research question that was investigated, how the investigation was undertaken and the conclusion(s) of the essay.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Achievement level** | **Descriptor** |
| 2 | The abstract clearly states all the elements listed above. |
| 1 | The abstract contains the elements listed above but they are not all clearly stated. |
| 0 | The abstract exceeds 300 words or one or more of the required elements of an abstract (listed above) is missing. |

**K: holistic judgment**

The purpose of this criterion is to assess the qualities that distinguish an essay from the average, such as intellectual initiative, depth of understanding and insight. While these qualities will be clearly present in the best work, less successful essays may also show some evidence of them and should be rewarded under this criterion.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Achievement level** | **Descriptor** |
| 4 | The essay shows considerable evidence of such qualities. |
| 3 | The essay shows clear evidence of such qualities. |
| 2 | The essay shows some evidence of such qualities. |
| 1 | The essay shows little evidence of such qualities. |
| 0 | The essay shows no evidence of such qualities. |

**Biology Essay Guidelines**

**Choice of topic**

Some topics are unsuitable for investigation because of ethical issues. Investigations that are based on experiments likely to inflict pain on, or cause unnecessary stress to, living organisms are not appropriate for submission. Investigations that are likely to have a harmful effect on health (for example, culturing micro-organisms at or near body temperature), or those which may involve access to, or publication of, confidential medical information, are also not appropriate.

Some topics may be unsuitable for investigation because of safety issues. Experiments in which the student uses toxic or dangerous chemicals, carcinogenic substances or radioactive materials should be avoided unless adequate safety apparatus and qualified supervision are available. Other topics may be unsuitable because the outcome is already well known and documented in standard textbooks.

The following examples of titles for biology extended essays are intended as guidance only. The pairings illustrate that focused topics (indicated by the first title) should be encouraged rather than broad topics (indicated by the second title).

· “**The effect of detergent toxicity on soil bacteria**” is better than “**Detergents in the environment**”.

· “**A study of malnourished children in Indonesia and the extent of their recovery after a period of supervised improved nutrition**” is better than “**Malnutrition in children**”.

· “**A study of the effect of differing pH levels on the growth of Phaseolus vulgaris**” is better than “**The effect of acidity on plant growth**”.

· “**The competitive and evolutionary nature of the symbiotic relationship in Paramecium bursaria**” is better than “**Symbiosis in animals**”.

· “**The effect of banana peel on seed germination**” is better than “**Factors that affect the germination of seeds**”.

· “**Gel electrophoresis: The construction of an apparatus and the separation of proteins in heat-treated cow's milk**” is better than “**Uses of the gel electrophoresis technique**”.

The topic chosen for study should be presented in the form of a research question, followed by a statement of intent outlining the research approach to be used in answering the question. In this way, the approach to the topic chosen may be even further clarified. Some examples of this could be the following.

**Topic** - The distribution and growth of lichens on urban pavements

**Research question** - How are the distribution and growth of lichens affected by sulfur dioxide and ozone levels in the atmosphere?

**Approach** - Thalus diameter and population density data is collected from selected sites in different parts of the city. This data is then correlated with published data on the levels of SO2 and O3

**Topic** - The effectiveness of commercial antibacterial cleaning agents

**Research question** - Are commercially available antibacterial cleaning agents effective at controlling the growth of E. coli on nutrient agar under laboratory conditions?

**Approach** - Pure strain E. coli are grown on nutrient agar plates under controlled conditions. Filter paper discs soaked in samples of the antibacterial agents are placed on the agar plates and the zone of exclusion is measured and compared.

**Topic** - Altitude and physical fitness

**Research question** - Can a programme of training at high altitude have an impact on the fitness of an athlete?

**Approach** - Using a digital heart-rate monitor, pre- and post-exercise heart rates and recovery times are measured for four athletes. These athletes then carry out a programme of training at 2,500 metres above sea level, after which heart-rate and recovery time data is once again collected. The pre- and post-training data is analysed and compared to published data.

**Topic** - Urease from soy beans

**Research question** - Which method of extraction and which temperature conditions give the best levels of urease activity?

**Approach** - The enzyme is extracted from dried soy beans using three different methods, and the activity of the extract is measured and compared to a standard. Urease activity is measured by noting the time taken for a standard urea solution, with phenolphthaline indicator, to turn pink in the presence of the enzyme extract.

**Treatment of the topic**

Students should point out early in the essay how the research question was arrived at and, if appropriate, how it was narrowed down, by briefly outlining related aspects that are not being considered in the essay. Students should be encouraged to formulate one or more hypotheses based on the research question. A single well-formulated question may give rise to a small number of precise hypotheses.

Essays in biology may be based on data collected by the student through experimentation, survey, microscopic observations, biological drawing, fieldwork or some other appropriate biological approach. Alternatively, essays may be based on data or information obtained from literature, ideally from primary sources, and manipulated or analysed in an original way by the student. Essays that simply restate facts or data taken directly from the sources are of little value. Whichever approach is chosen, the student must ensure that sufficient resources, in the form of data and information, can be obtained in order to allow the topic to be effectively researched.

Essays that involve practical work carried out in the laboratory, or fieldwork, should include a clear and concise description of the experimental procedure. Students should attempt to specify how the research approach and methodology were decided, and show any approaches that were considered and rejected. Ideally, students should carry out the research for the essay solely under the direction of a school supervisor. Some of the best essays have been written by students investigating relatively simple phenomena using standard school apparatus, and this approach is to be encouraged. Regardless of where, or under what circumstances, the research is carried out, students must provide evidence in the essay of their personal contribution to the research approach and to the selection of the methods used. Essays based on research carried out by the student at a research institute or university, under the guidance of an external supervisor, must be accompanied by a covering letter outlining the nature of the supervision and the level of guidance provided.

Generating and presenting data should not be an end in itself; analysis using appropriate scientific techniques is essential. The main body of the essay should consist of an argument or evaluation based on the data or information presented. Here, the student should point out the significance of any graphs, tables or diagrams. Since this is often the longest single section of the essay, it is essential that it is well structured and has an obvious logical progression. A clear structure can be imposed on this section by dividing it into numbered and headed paragraphs. This evaluation should show an understanding of the results and an appreciation of their significance in light of the literature that has been consulted.

Students should provide some explanation of anomalies or unexpected outcomes but this should not form a major part of the discussion. If necessary, modifications to hypotheses presented earlier in the essay should be proposed and a research approach for testing these should be suggested. Some assessment of the outcomes of the research in a future or wider context should be made.

Students must be encouraged to undertake a critical evaluation of the work they have done. In this analysis, the student should describe and explain the limitations imposed on the research by factors such as the suitability and reliability of the sources accessed, accuracy and precision of measuring equipment, sample size, validity and reliability of statistics. Biological limitations should be considered, such as those arising from the problem of repeatability and control when using living material, as well as the difficulties of generalizing from research based on a single type of organism or environment.

**Interpreting the assessment criteria**

**Criterion A: research question**

In a biology extended essay, the research question is best stated in the form of a question. The research question should not be understood as a statement of the topic but rather as a precisely formulated question that the research will attempt to answer. For example, a statement of the topic of an essay might be “Factors that affect bacterial growth in agar plate cultures”; the research question based on this topic could be “How are the growth rates of three strains of E. coli affected by temperature?”. The research question can then be used to formulate a hypothesis, or hypotheses, which can be tested. The research question should be identified clearly and set out prominently in the introduction. A broad statement of the topic of the essay or a statement of the hypothesis is not sufficient on its own to meet the requirement for a research question in a biology extended essay.

**Criterion B: introduction**

The purpose of the introduction is to set the research question into context. It is usually appropriate to include the general background biological theory required to understand how the research question has arisen. Students are not expected to explain basic biology forming part of the Diploma Programme biology course, but they are expected to be able to show that they fully understand it and can apply it correctly. Some research questions may require background from other disciplines. This should be kept to a minimum, as the essay will be judged on its biological content.

**Criterion C: investigation**

The way in which the investigation is written will depend very much on whether or not the essay is based on experimental work performed by the student. For essays that are based on data taken from written sources, the student should explain clearly how the data has been selected and should comment on its reliability. For experimental work, sufficient information on the methodology should be provided to allow the work to be repeated. Students should demonstrate that they understand the theory behind any techniques or apparatus used. They are also expected to show an awareness of any limitations or uncertainties inherent in their techniques and apparatus.

**Criterion D: knowledge and understanding of the topic studied**

 A biology extended essay should be based on specific, relevant and clearly defined aspects of the biological study of living organisms. The information and ideas should be presented in a way that provides evidence that these have been understood and applied correctly. Material extracted from the sources should be referenced and incorporated into the main body of the essay in a way that demonstrates the student’s understanding.

**Criterion E: reasoned argument**

Because of the nature of the subject, students writing a biology extended essay must make a special effort to maintain a reasoned, logical argument that focuses on the research question. Essays that attempt to deal with a large number of variables are unlikely to be focused and coherent. A clear and logical argument can be achieved by making repeated reference to the research question and to the hypotheses derived from it. An assessment of the extent to which the hypotheses are supported, or the question is answered, by the data or information accessed should form part of the argument.

**Criterion F: application of analytical and evaluative skills appropriate to the subject**

The stated conclusion(s) must be based on the data, information and/or evidence presented in the essay. The data must be analysed and presented in such a way that the argument leading to the conclusion is supported and clarified. Tables of raw data will generally not achieve this on their own. Raw data must be analysed, processed and presented in a way that relates clearly and directly to the central argument of the essay. Where appropriate, this analysis should allow for an assessment of the validity of the hypothesis. Errors and uncertainties arising from the methodology, instruments and/or techniques should be analysed and critically evaluated.

**Criterion G: use of language appropriate to the subject**

Students writing in biology need to show a mastery of, and fluency in, the use of appropriate terminology. At the same time, students need to avoid excessive use of jargon. Any technical terms that are used should be explained and the student must demonstrate an understanding of these terms by using them appropriately within the text. The student must try to maintain a consistent linguistic style throughout the essay.

**Criterion H: conclusion**

The conclusion should relate directly to the research question and should point out the main findings of the research. Biological research often reveals unexpected outcomes and these should be pointed out, even if they were not part of the original plan. The original research question may not be fully answered by the investigation. In these cases, the student should point out unresolved issues and make suggestions as to how these might be further investigated.

**Criterion I: formal presentation**

Biological investigations often require the support of referenced material, not only in the form of text or data, but also as diagrams or drawings. Care must be taken to supply references for illustrations taken from sources. Students must avoid the temptation to supply illustrations for their own sake. Illustrative material should only be included if it enhances the argument or supplies information that cannot be easily provided in another way. Original photographs, photocopies or downloaded images that are not labelled or put into the context of the investigation are unlikely to enhance the essay. Biological investigations often result in large quantities of raw data. Large tables of raw data are best included in an appendix. Processed data that is central to the argument of the essay should be included in the body of the essay, as close as possible to its first reference.

**Criterion J: abstract**

For a biological investigation, the abstract must include the research question and a conclusion that directly relates to the research question. In addition, the description of how the research was conducted must include a description of the methodology and the scope of the study.

**Criterion K: holistic judgment**

Qualities that are rewarded under this criterion include the following.

· Intellectual initiative: Ways of demonstrating this in biology essays include the choice of topic and research question, and the use of novel or innovative approaches to address the research question.

· Insight and depth of understanding: These are most likely to be demonstrated as a consequence of detailed research and thorough reflection, and by well-informed and reasoned argument that consistently and effectively addresses the research question.

· Originality and creativity: These will be apparent by clear evidence of a personal approach backed up by solid research and reasoning.